

Contractual Innovation in Venture Capital

Insights

November 27, 2014

Originally published by: SSRN - 66 Hastings Law Journal 133 (2014)

By: Joe Green and John Coyle

Scholars agree that contractual innovation, though sometimes slow to occur, can and will take place if certain conditions are met. The Article argues that the evolution of certain venture finance contracts over the past decade constitutes a prime example of such innovation.

Drawing upon interviews with some of the leading venture capital attorneys in the United States, it chronicles how two types of venture finance contracts — the convertible note and convertible preferred stock — evolved in response to technological advances that greatly reduced the cost of launching a start-up technology company. Prior to 2005, individuals who invested in early-stage technology companies would typically invest alongside the founder of the new venture by purchasing shares of common stock. Venture capital funds, which invested more substantial amounts of capital at later stages in a company's development, would typically receive convertible preferred stock. And in situations in which a company needed a loan from its current investors to keep it afloat until a new infusion of capital could be raised — a so-called bridge loan — investors would typically receive promissory notes that were convertible into equity at a future date. Each of these types of investment contracts reliably matched up with a particular mode of financing transaction.

Around 2005, however, this stable contractual infrastructure began to change. A number of technological developments — including, most significantly, the rise of cloud computing — led to a dramatic decline in the costs of launching a technology

company. In the wake of these changes, the contracts used by investors to structure their investments in these ventures evolved in two important ways. First, convertible notes, previously used primarily in the context of bridge financing, were increasingly used to provide financing to early-stage technology companies. Second, investors in early-stage technology companies increasingly turned to much simplified versions of traditional convertible preferred stock documents to structure their investments. While these changes have fundamentally reshaped the contractual infrastructure of early-stage venture finance in the United States, they have attracted scant attention in the legal literature to date. This Article aspires to fill that gap.

The Article then draws upon this account of evolution and change in the venture capital space to develop insights into the process of contractual innovation more generally. It argues that current theories of contractual innovation only partially explain the changes to these venture finance contracts over the past decade. It argues that while attorneys can and do serve to drive the process of contractual innovation, the success of these efforts is highly dependent upon partnerships that they develop with the end users of these contracts. Finally, the Article suggests that the substitution of one type of contract for another — using equity instead of debt, for example — is itself an innovation that has gone largely unappreciated in the contractual innovation literature.

Related People



Joe Green

OF COUNSEL & CHIEF INNOVATION OFFICER

P +1 212 430 3136

Featured Insights

CLIENT NEWS

Anduril Announces Acquisition of Klas to Advance Tactical Edge Computing and Communications

FIRM NEWS

Gunderson Dettmer Commemorates 2025 Asian American and Pacific Islander Heritage (AAPI) Month

CLIENT NEWS

Prosus Leads US\$7.25M Financing of Zapia

CLIENT NEWS

Brazilian Carbon Capture Company Mombak Announces \$30M Financing

CLIENT NEWS

Latin American Fintech Clara Announces \$80 Million Financing

CLIENT NEWS

Africa B2B OmniRetail Announces \$20M Financing

CLIENT NEWS

Glacier Announces Series A Financing to Expand Robot Recycling Fleet

CLIENT NEWS

Dataminr Announces \$100M Investment Led by Fortress Investment Group

CLIENT NEWS

Omnidian Announces \$87M Series C for Renewable Energy Performance

INSIGHTS

Splitting the Pie: How Savvy Founders Divide Ownership and Navigate Other

Founder Equity Decisions

CLIENT NEWS

Chainguard Announces \$356 Million Series D Led by Kleiner Perkins and IVP

INSIGHTS

Client Insight: California AI Transparency Act